

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	Case No. 08cr0256-L
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	ORDER OF CRIMINAL
v.)	FORFEITURE
)	
ALEXANDER WEIR IV (9),)	
aka "Brick,")	
)	
Defendant.)	
_____)	

WHEREAS, in the Superseding Information in the above-captioned case, the United States sought forfeiture of all right, title and interest in specific properties of the above-named Defendant, ALEXANDER WEIR IV (9) ("Defendant"), pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(a)(1), as property obtained directly or indirectly as the result of the commission of the violations charged in the Superseding Information; and

WHEREAS, on or about April 20, 2009, Defendant pled guilty to Count 1 of the Superseding Information, which plea included a consent to the forfeiture allegations of the Superseding Information and an agreement to entry of a \$20,000 judgment against the Defendant in favor of the United States; and

WHEREAS, all the assets pled to by Defendant were previously forfeited pursuant to the Preliminary and Amended Orders of Criminal Forfeiture as to co-defendant Daphne Rosalinda Jackson (4) ; and

//

1 WHEREAS, by virtue of the admissions of the Defendant set out in the plea agreement and
2 guilty plea, the Court determined that **\$20,000** (U.S. dollars) represents the the proceeds obtained
3 as a result of the commission of the conspiracy to distribute cocaine base in the form of crack
4 cocaine as charged in Count 1 in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 846 and
5 841(a)(1), as charged in the Superseding Information; and

6 WHEREAS, by virtue of said guilty plea and the Court's findings, the United States is now
7 entitled to an Order of Forfeiture and a judgment in its favor against the Defendant in the amount
8 of **\$20,000**, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 853 and Rule 32.2(b) of the Federal Rules of Criminal
9 Procedure; and

10 WHEREAS, Rule 32.2(c)(1) provides that "no ancillary proceeding is required to the extent
11 that the forfeiture consists of a money judgment;" and

12 WHEREAS, by virtue of the facts set forth in the plea agreement, the United States has
13 established the requisite nexus between the **\$20,000** judgment and the offense; and

14 WHEREAS, the United States, having submitted the Order herein to the Defendant through
15 his attorney of record, to review, and no objections having been received;

16 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

17 1. Defendant ALEXANDER WEIR IV (9) shall forfeit to the United States the sum
18 of **\$20,000** pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(a)(1); and

19 2. Judgment shall be entered in favor of the United State against Defendant
20 ALEXANDER WEIR IV (9) in the amount of **\$20,000.00** with interest to accrue thereon in
21 accordance with law; and

22 3. This Court shall retain jurisdiction in the case for the purpose of enforcing the order
23 of forfeiture and collecting and enforcing the judgment; and

24 4. Pursuant to Rule 32.2(b)(3), this Order of Forfeiture shall be made final as to the
25 Defendant at the time of sentencing and is part of the sentence and included in the judgment; and

26 //


27 //

28 //

1 5. The United States may, at any time, move pursuant to Rule 32.2(e) to amend this
2 Order of Forfeiture to substitute property having a value not to exceed \$20,000 to satisfy the
3 money judgment in whole or in part; and

4 6. The United States may take any and all actions available to it to collect and enforce
5 the judgment.

6
7 DATED: August 3, 2009

8 
9 M. James Lorenz
United States District Court Judge